Glossary

Term	Definition
Term	Definition
Q _{FW}	Quantity in forward market
Q _{DA}	Quantity in Day-Ahead market
Q _{ID}	Quantity in intraday market
Q _{BAL}	Quantity in balancing market
Q _{metered}	Metered quantity (excluding non-energy balancing actions)
Q _{IMB}	Imbalance quantity $(Q_{metered}^{-}(Q_{FW}^{+}Q_{DA}^{+}Q_{ID}^{-}))$
P _{FW}	Price(s) in forward market
P _{DA}	Price in Day-Ahead market
P _{ID}	Price(s) in intraday market
P _{BAL}	Price(s) in balancing market
P _{IMB}	Imbalance price(s)
Y _{FW}	Revenue or cost in forward market
Y _{DA}	Revenue or cost in day ahead market
Y _{ID}	Revenue or cost in intraday market
Y _{BAL}	Revenue or cost in balancing market
Y _{IMB}	Revenue or cost in imbalance

Overview of trading in HLD options for I-SEM



Irrespective of which I-SEM High Level Design option is selected market participants could be subject to different prices in the different market timeframes as illustrated in the blue boxes to the left

In the forward and intraday (unlike the Day-Ahead based on a single auction through Euphemia), this could be a stream of prices (possibly from different trading platforms)

Participants provide a balancing bid/offer to the TSO (Energy balancing actions are assumed to be Pay-ascleared and non-energy balancing actions are assumed to be settled as Pay-as bid)

An imbalance price is the marginal cost of balancing energy actions

Trading opportunities across different timeframes in new HLD options for I-SEM



Simplifying assumptions for worked examples

- The following worked examples are designed to be purely illustrative of possible trading outcomes across different timeframes
- We describe one worked example from the perspective of a wind generator one worked example from the perspective of a supplier for each of the 4 HLD options for I-SEM. To facilitate comparison between the options for each worked example, we assume the same trading strategy is followed in each option.
- For both worked examples, we assume that the effective prices in each timeframe are the same in each HLD option. Changes in price between timeframes can be driven by a range of factors, including but not limited to changes in aggregate forecasts and/or associated trading volumes for wind and demand.
- In these worked examples, the overall (net) revenue/cost for the particular trading period is the same for each market participant across all options.
- For simplicity, forward trading in HLD options 1 and 2 is shown as physical, although it is possible to also trade forward financially in those options
- These examples are static (one-off). In any of the HLD options, the relationship between prices in the different timeframes will change dynamically through opportunities for arbitrage, and changes in forecasts and availability

Worked example 1 - Wind Generator with 100MW capacity



There can be several trades in the forward timeframe. For simplicity in the worked examples, we show only one trade (for 10MW).

Wind generator forecasts output at Day-Ahead stage Forecast @ DA = 50MW

Wind generator updates forecast several times throughout the day and the wind generator can strike several trades intraday. For simplicity in the worked examples, we show only one forecast and one trade intraday. Forecast @ ID 60MW (10MW increase from DA forecast)

For simplicity, we assume that the wind generator is either not called in the balancing mechanism or s a price-taker in the ex-post pool. Each HLD option will need to respect absolute priority dispatch

Actual volume is lower than the final forecasted position $Q_{metered} = 50MW$ (10MW decrease from ID forecast)

Worked Example 1 (Wind Generator with 100MW capacity) HLD Option 1 (Adapted Decentralised Market)



Worked Example 1 (Wind Generator with 100MW capacity) HLD Option 2 (Mandatory Ex-Post Pool for Net Volumes)



Worked Example 1 (Wind Generator with 100MW capacity) HLD Option 3 (Mandatory Centralised Market)



Worked Example 1 (Wind Generator with 100MW capacity) HLD Option 4 (Gross Pool – Net Settlement Market)



Worked example 2 – Supplier (with no active demand)



There can be several trades in the forward timeframe. For simplicity in the worked examples, we show only one trade (for 400MW of demand).

Supplier forecasts demand at Day-Ahead stage Forecast @ DA = 450MW

Supplier updates demand forecast several times throughout the day and the supplier can strike several trades intraday. For simplicity in the worked examples, we show only one forecast and one trade intraday. Forecast @ ID 460MW (10MW increase from DA forecast)

As the supplier is assumed to have no access to active demand, it does not participate in the balancing mechanism and is a price-taker in the expost pool

Actual volume is lower than the final forecasted position Q_{metered} = 450MW (10MW decrease from ID forecast)

Worked Example 2 (Supplier with no active demand) HLD Option 1 (Adapted Decentralised Market)



Net Revenue = -€24000 - €2500 - €400 + €0 + €500 = -€26400

Worked Example 2 (Supplier with no active demand) HLD Option 2 (Mandatory Ex-Post Pool for Net <u>Volumes</u>)



Net Revenue = -€24000 - €2500 - €400 + €0 + €500 = -€26400

Worked Example 2 (Supplier with no active demand) HLD Option 3 (Mandatory Centralised Market)



Net Revenue = -€4000 - €22500 - €400 + €0 + €500 = -€26400

Worked Example 2 (Supplier with no active demand) HLD Option 4 (Gross Pool – Net Settlement Market)

