



Mr. Jamie Burke
Single Electricity Market Committee
C/o Commission for Energy Regulation
The Exchange
Belgrade Square North
Tallaght,
DUBLIN 24

Dunmore Wind Farm Ltd, The Old Throne Hospital
244 Whitewell Road
Belfast, Northern Ireland, BT36 7EN
T: +44 (0)28 9037 1122 F: +44 (0)28 9077 5220

peter.craig@tcirenewables.com
M: +44 (0)7771 701 695
DD: +44 (0)28 9078 2040
www.tcirenewables.com

Monday, 19 November 2012

BY E-MAIL TO: jburke@cer.ie

Dear SEM Committee members,

Re: Response to the "Treatment of Curtailment in Tie-Break situations – Proposed Decision Paper", SEM-12-090

Dunmore Wind Farm Ltd welcomes the opportunity to respond to the SEM Committee proposed decision paper on the *Treatment of Curtailment in Tie-Break situations*.

Dunmore Wind Farm strongly supports the IWEA response to this consultation and would like to reiterate that curtailment is a critical matter to be addressed to provide a stable policy framework to allow the industry on the island to move forward. We welcome the SEM Committee proposed decision that curtailment be allocated on a pro rata basis however **we strongly oppose the proposal to reduce and remove the levels of compensation to generators for curtailment**. Our concerns are primarily regarding the discriminatory nature of the proposal and the retrospective application of the changes, as well as determining one aspect of the future market design without considering the market in its entirety. Such a retrospective change would be very damaging to investor confidence and undermine any confidence in a stable policy framework.

Dunmore Wind Farm would also like to note that the proposed decision is in contradiction to the recent Material Harm decision which clearly set out a number of triggers to monitor material harm to the consumer. The proposed decision paper outlines a quantified impact that does not justify harm to the consumer.

Dunmore Wind Farm supports the position taken by IWEA on the proposed decision put forward and also continue to support the IWEA proposal to vary Option 3 ("Option 3b") which was previously put forward. We believe this is a solution that represents an industry compromise position which importantly meets all of what we understand as the SEM Committee key objectives and strikes the right balance between addressing the curtailment issue and enabling the renewables industry advance in line with Government and EU policy and targets. We believe "Option 3b" as set out can be supported by the SEM Committee and the industry as well as importantly providing the least impact on the consumer.

In conclusion we would like to thank the SEM Committee for the opportunity to engage on this issue and to highlight the particular importance of this consultation given the significant implications it has for the viability of the wind sector.

Yours sincerely,



Peter Craig
Director
for and on behalf of Dunmore Wind Farm Ltd.